of American entrepreneurism is not stifled by corporate consolidation.

Ι hope you will join me and my colleagues in working to protect our free market, and to prevent it from being hijacked by just a few I hope you will join me in building a system that favors innovative entrepreneurs over stuffy and stagnate corporate boards. I hope you will join me in favoring local reporting, programming and local news in our media, along with the national and international events. For in a growing global marketplace, our public airwaves reflect us publicly.

I am so pleased to know that you, as Commissioners, understand who owns our airwaves; the people do. I am glad that all of these people sitting behind us will have an opportunity to share with you their feelings about consolidation. And I am so pleased that our fellow Angelinos are here today, they will be sharing their views, and I know you will listen carefully. I know you will take their thoughts and concern into consideration.

As people with the utmost integrity, you wouldn't be sitting on this Board if you didn't have it. I want you to listen closely, because you have

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

result of that meeting. The Reverend Jesse Jackson was there, and can witness that over three million contacts were made to the FCC. So listen well, my friends, and hear from the people, the American people. Thank you so very much.

CHAIRMAN MARTIN: Thank you,
Congresswoman Watson.

Reverend Jackson.

REVEREND JACKSON: Thank you, Chairman Today, I hope that we will sincerely be heard and not tolerated. At the heart of remarks, there's a gap between those who own airwaves, the people, the public, and those who control the airwaves, those whose presumptions have bought and sold them against the public interest. There is in urban America today, a protracted process, a kind of genocide. You cut Section Eight Housing, cut the school budget, cut the content of art and music in schools, cut the jobs, give the telephone numbers to the government upon request, to lie and spy about a war, cut hospitals, then cut media access. That is protracted genocide.

The issue of media ownership can be considered a three-legged stool, and prior to the

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Federal Communications Commission, Congress and the public with each leg providing critical The FCC sets the rules with comment from the public, and Congress enforces the rules. Has the FCC considered sweeping changes to the nation's and media ownership rules? A recent report released by Free Press analyzes female and minority ownership of Full Power, commercial broadcast а television station.

Key findings are minorities make up 33 percent of the U.S. population, and 3 percent of the TV station owners. Women comprise 51 percent of the population, 5 percent of TV station owners. Hispanic or Latinos comprise 14 percent of the U.S. population, but 1 percent of TV station owners. Hispanic owned TV stations reached 21 percent of the Hispanic households. Black or African-Americans comprise 33 percent (sic) of the U.S. population, 1 percent of TV station owners. Only 8 percent of the African-American households are reached by black owned stations. Asians comprise 4 percent of the U.S. population, but only 0.44 percent of the station owners. Non-Hispanic white owners control 1,033 stations, or 76 percent of all the stations. While people of color and women ownership have

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

increased in other industries, the percentage in the broadcast industry has worsened.

In short, too few people own too much media at the expense of too many people. The issue gets worse by poor public policy decisions, when instead of encouraging inclusion of women and people of color, actually have locked -- moved the rainbow out of opportunities. Thus, there's been improvement in the level of minority at broadcast ownership since 1998, even as a total number of stations have increased. There's been a marked decrease of African-American-owned stations, dropping nearly 30 percent since 1998. The majority of people of color who own stations say after 1998 neither have been permitted on the pre-1996 Nationwide Ownership Rules, which prevented the few from owning many stations. The FCC policy of the past 10 years has resulted in 40 percent loss of people of color owned stations. Media ownership should look like America. There should be more local media ownership and content and more multicultural as a matter of national policy.

The right to vote is nullified if we do not have the right to see and hear information on a time-sensitive basis. Democracy only works if you

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

3

4

5

ର୍ଗ

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have democratic access capital and media and health care and education. Without that, freedom is an illusion. The nation and the world is diverse. To sell it or limit access to it is un-American and ungodly. Thus, what's left, our democracy becomes the hole of the media donut. We're in the hole, and it's sinking every day. As the noose of ownership tightens, we see each other through and the world through a keyhole with a lock to a door.

We ask you today to open the windows of content and local ownership and shared ownership. Open those doors wide and let the sun shine in. The Tribune Company, which owns the <u>L.A. Times</u> and these other stations, operates out of Chicago, not L.A. There's something about that that's inherently undemocratic, driven by greed and not by need.

And so, since that consolidation is now up on a challenge, the Tribune Company owner of the L.A. Times and KTLA and WGN and the Chicago Cubs, they could do a much better job of managing that part of their business -- our next challenge -- say amen, somebody. Yeah. I would urge us, in light of the specifics οf the Tribune's consolidation challenge laid out by Congresswoman Waters today, that you consider having the next meeting in Chicago

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

where the head of that entity exists, and so as it
goes to reorganization and as it goes to
reconfiguration, let the new Tribune deal become the
new model for American shared ownership. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Thank you,
Reverend Jackson, and thank you all for being here
and participating.
Let me introduce Henry Rivera, who is a
former Commissioner, who's going to be helping us
moderate and try to keep on schedule today. And if
I can ask the other people on the first panel to
come on up and, Henry, maybe you can introduce them.
MODERATOR RIVERA: Yes, I will. Thank
you Mr. Chairman. I wanted to mention a couple of
housekeeping things. We do have a translation
service in Spanish. There are some handsets out in
the lobby if you need them. So, I wanted to let our
the lobby II you need them. Bo, I wanted to let our
speakers know that there is translation going on, so
speakers know that there is translation going on, so
speakers know that there is translation going on, so if they could speak that way, with that in mind, it
speakers know that there is translation going on, so if they could speak that way, with that in mind, it would be very helpful.
speakers know that there is translation going on, so if they could speak that way, with that in mind, it would be very helpful. CHAIRMAN MARTIN: If the panels could

Panelists, could I have your attention,

please. Thank you very much. Thank you for all for being here. We appreciate it very much. have five minutes to make your points. It's my job to enforce that five minutes, and the Chairman is going to be very angry with me if I don't. So, I'm really going to have to hold you to the five minutes. We have a lot of folks, as you can see here, who also want to speak. The Commissioners have to leave promptly at 4:30 to go to El Segundo for the second part of this hearing, so we have to would really appreciate your move along, and I watching that five minutes.

So, participating in this panel we will begin with Stephen Cannell. He's a member of the Caucus of Television Producers, Writers and Directors. Taylor Hackford will follow. He's the Third Vice President, Directors Guild of American; Ann Marie Johnson is National First Vice President, Screen Actors Guild. I got you guys switched. right, no problem. Patrick Verrone, President, Writers Guild of America; Mona Mangan, Executive Director, Writers Guild of America East; Marshall Herskovitz, President, Producers Guild of America; Mike Mills, Bassist for REM, and he is a member of Recording Artists' Coalition; John Connolly,

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

President of the American Federation of Television and Radio Artists; Brandon Burgess, CEO, Ion Media Networks; Tim Winter, President-Elect, Parents' Television Council. And those are our panelists. Did I miss anyone there? I think I got you all. Mr. Cannell, would you please start us off.

MR. CANNELL: Thank you very Commissioner Martin and Commissioners, for hearing us today. I want to start my story and tell you about a kid who was 30 years old at Universal Television who came up with a wild idea for television show called "The Rockford Files." was about a guy who was sort of an iconoclastic He was very different from any private character. eye that on television at the time. The was character that quit every time he was threatened, if you pulled a gun on him he'd give you the keys to And it was a very different and unique his car. And I had a mentor at Universal, Roy Huggins, and we sold this to ABC, and I wrote the script. And when I turned it in, it was abject hatred. They read the script, they hated everything about it. said, well, you can't have a hero quitting every time he's threatened or runs credit the beautiful client, you checks on know, the

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

audience will hate this guy. And they refused to make it.

But Universal was my partner in this They didn't own a network. project. They had similar interests to my own. So they said, you know Let's see if we can set this up somewhere what? So we got Jim Garner in the project, took it else. to NBC, and the rest is history. It was a five year Emmy-winning hit, which I've recently read was -- TV Guide picked as the best detective show ever on television. It never would have been made had I tried to sell it to ABC, because they would have literally forced me to change the content that made it special.

After the "Rockford Files," I created other shows at Universal Television where I under contract, "Baretta," "Ba Ba Black Sheep." after five years there, I was starting to get a little bit -- I felt that I was constrained by the studio environment, and I wanted to live an American dream. I wanted to go out and form my own studio, which was a pretty cocky idea. But I thought, you do this, know what? I can my father was It was something I desperately felt. entrepreneur. I went out and I formed the Cannell Studios.

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

At the very beginning, it was a struggle. We didn't kind of know what we were doing, but we -- as we struggled along, we finally became, in a matter of about four or five years, the third largest supplier of television in Hollywood. I had 2,000 employees there. We had \$15 million a year in overhead. We were doing about \$150 million in volume -- gross volume. It was a big business. And I couldn't believe that I had been able to accomplish this.

And along the way, Ι had another demonstration of this situation of trying to get a network to make a program that they didn't quite What happened was that I was doing a understand. show called "The Commish" for CBS, it was about a friend of mine who was a commissioner of a police department in Rye, New York -- a kind of a cherubic, overweight guy with a pixy attitude. Steve Kronish and I wrote this pilot. CBS loved the pilot. started to cast it, and here's where the problem They had a completely different concept came in. The Commish should be. They wanted a handsome Italian leading man, maybe 20 years younger than Steve Kronish and I had conceived him, and it became a huge argument, such a big argument,

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

fact, that we missed the development season and did not get the pilot cast.

We argued about it well into the second season, and finally, in order to preserve relationship with CBS, I suggested that we not make the show. Since I owned the copyright on this script, I was able to move The Commish to ABC and I put Michael Chickliss (ph) in it, who was my initial choice that CBS had turned down, and we had another five-year hit. Again, the ability to move the program was what protected its content.

I went on during the period of time that I was producing shows -- I saw this Fin Sin thing happening. I went to Washington in 1990 and I testified before the FCC. And during my testimony there, I was quite concerned because I was facing network presidents who really had my fate in their But I knew that if I didn't speak up, that hands. the chances of my studio surviving were slim. assured by all the presidents of the networks that there was no way that independent producers would be shut out of this process, that in fact, they wanted producers to flourish, they wanted more independent producers. I was told not to worry; have no fear.

I went back to Hollywood in 1993. I

NEAL R. GROSS

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

believed the rules were abrogated, and I did a pilot for CBS in 1994. It was called "Traps." It starred George C. Scott. I wrote and produced this through It was a two-hour pilot. I picked my own company. up about \$200,000 or \$300,000 in deficit to produce what I felt was a very lush looking pilot. screened it for CBS. They loved it in New York. They loved it in L.A. It tested very high with the ASI audiences, and I've been doing this since 1968. I knew this show was on the air.

What happened? The schedule starts to come out, and the trade papers, as they do, were trying to figure out what the new schedule is going be, and we weren't on the first rumor schedule. While I've had that happen before, we weren't on the second rumor schedule. I finally asked CBS what was going to happen. They told me that if I would transfer the ownership to CBS, they would program the show -- that was my protection.

So anyway, I thank you gentlemen for being here. I hope that you will preserve this so that other young dreamers, such as myself, could have companies and survive.

MODERATOR RIVERA: Mr. Taylor Hackford.

MR. HACKFORD: Thank you, Chairman

NEAL R. GROSS

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Martin and members of the commission. I'm a working director and producer of both feature films television, and I'm here today as the Third Vice President of the Directors Guild. The DGA represents over 13,400 directors and members of the directorial team. work We on feature films, commercials, documentaries, and news, but by far, the majority of our members work in television. The mission is protect DGA's to the economic and creative interests of directors and their team, and the consolidation of media and entertainment programming in fewer and fewer hands imperils both. That there has been a proliferation of media outlets today available to the consumer for the distribution programming, that should call we а diversity of outlets -- is irrefutable.

with Our concern is equally an irrefutable fact, the lack of diversity of source, i.e., the pool of entities producing TV content today is almost nonexistent. What is this diversity of source that we are talking about? Well, the kind entertainment programming that has always been paradigm in our industry is hiqh television programs, scripted comedies and dramas but generate that are expensive to make, huge

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

profits after they mature -- after five years, let's say. Investors have been historically willing to deficit finance independent producers in the hope that they'll create great original ideas that will last for a generation. These are the shows that we talk about not just when they're on the air, but long after they have left our living rooms.

The DGA's position on this issue has not changed over the past decade. We predicted back in the early 1990s that the elimination of Fin Sin would enable the networks, once they were free to produce and own the programming, to swallow up and drive out of business smaller independent producers, i.e., what Mr. Cannell just said. We wish that we had been wrong. Unfortunately, we were right.

The robust independent production that existed a decade community aqo has destroyed. Today, each major network is a sister company to a producer or a studio that was formerly an independent supplier of programming. And please let's not let the media buzz about the exploding importance of the internet divert our attention away from the how this important issue still is -- prime television. time network Prime time network television is still the most watched television in

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

this country. It is still the primary revenue source from advertisers, and it will remain so in the foreseeable future. So I'd like to use my testimony for some cold, hard facts.

Back in 1992-93, that season, 66 percent of network television came and was created from independent producers, with the networks accounting for the remaining 34 percent. Roles reversed by 1998-99, that season, when networks and their affiliate producers were now responsible for 62 percent of what the public saw, and the independent producers fell to 33 percent.

Today, the 2006-07 season, independents' share has fallen to 24 percent, while the networks and their affiliates own and control 76 percent of prime time television aired each week on the four networks. However, the 24 percent independent figure is misleading because it includes reality and The number of independent suppliers of game shows. scripted programming, the most important measure of source and program diversity, has decreased from 23 producers in the 1990s to two today, and those two, media Warner Brothers and Sony, are part οf conglomerates. They do not resemble the strong independent that once existed such as Carcy Warner,

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MTM Enterprises, Steven Bochco Productions, Wood
Thomas Aris and, of course, Steven Cannell
Productions.

But I'm not here simply to lament the situation in which this community finds itself. We do, in fact, have a proposal, and it's the same proposal that we and a number of others submitted to the Commission in our 2003 filing.

The rule we are proposing would require each of the four networks to use programming from fully independent sources on 25 percent of their prime time schedule. The networks would still be entitled to keep 100 percent of the advertising revenue and have a minority piece of the profits and the programming. But we believe this is incentive to encourage those who want to independent capital in TV programming to get back into the game. And this is crucial to the both of us who are sitting here on this -- in this table, who create programming for billions of people who watch TV around the world every day.

When the independents flourished, a director could walk hand in hand with an independent producer and create a concept, sell that concept, and often, fighting against all odds, make that

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	concept a success. Today, none of those independent
2	producers that I mentioned earlier are around to
3	join in the fight for a totally unique idea or a
4	controversial program. The power of argument and
5	our rewards of success are all on one side at this
6	moment, on the networks, and we think this is a
7	tragedy, because independently produced TV shows
8	have always been the pace-setters. Shows like
9	"Little House on the Prairie," "The Walton's," "Hill
10	Street Blues," "The Rockford Files," for instance,
11	and dramas, "Cosby" and "Roseanne," "Newhart" and
12	"Barney Miller," "Three's Company" and "Seinfeld,"
13	shows produced outside the networks, presenting a
14	strong viewpoint of American life, past and
15	present
16	MODERATOR RIVERA: You have to wind up
17	now.
18	MR. HACKFORD: Shows that define our
19	future. Thank you very much.
20	MODERATOR RIVERA: Would you introduce
21	yourself, please.
22	ALAN ROSENBERG: I'm not Anne Marie
23	Johnson.
24	MODERATOR RIVERA: No, you're not.

ALAN ROSENBERG:

2

2

2

2

25

I'm Alan Rosenberg.

Good afternoon, and thank you, Chairman Martin and Commissioners, for holding this important discussion today here in the heart of the entertainment community. My name is Alan Rosenberg, and I am the President of the Screen Actors Guild. I represent 120,000 actors, and we are proud to be affiliated with the AFL/CIO. It is with great pride that I introduce the woman who will be speaking on behalf of the Guild today. She is the person, estimation, who is most responsible for us being in the best shape we've been in several decades. She's accomplished actor and union leader, Actors Guild National First Vice President, Ms. Anne Marie Johnson.

MS. JOHNSON: We have a traveling act, and he is my political better half. Thank you, President Rosenberg, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. I'm honored to be here today. Though I've been in many movies and worked on stage, I am a television actor. I've been blessed with several television series over the years, and I've watched the changes in television landscape carefully and cautiously. As actors, we were lucky if we were hired by one of television's most acclaimed independent producers.

However, the days of independent

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

producers taking his or her creative vision on a series or movie of the week to completion is a thing of the past. The casting decisions are now made by the networks, and not just for the marquee stars. Big media companies now cast almost every actor, because they can. Norman Lear fought to have Carroll O'Connor play his vision of Archie Bunker, Stephen Cannell knew that James Garner had to be Jim Rockford, and Marcy Carcy knew that Bill Cosby's character on The Cosby Show had to be a doctor.

I'll let you get that phone call.

Until thev fell in love with the Huxtable Family, many Americans never knew or never saw an African-American man as a doctor. When the show was originally pitched to ABC, the network executives wanted the Bill Cosby character to be a Vegas entertainer rather than a doctor. When Marcy Carcy refused to make this creative concession, she was forced to take the show to NBC, and the rest is history.

This is a golden example of what can happen when there is true diversity viewpoints in the production of prime time network television programming. Ask whether American viewers would have ever seen an African-American doctor on prime

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

television if it had not time been for the perseverance of this independent producer. And this a requirement -- a requirement why independent voices in production is critical to the Commission's long-standing qoal of viewpoint diversity in America.

American viewers embraced those and dozens of other cutting edge provocative characters in the 70s and the 80s. These shows were widely successful and became a part of the American popular culture. Some amazing producers, writers, directors and actors broke the mold and made worldwide viewers think about social issues in ways that they've never thought of before.

There are certainly some excellent shows for one celebrate air today. Ι resurgence of ensemble casts in today's hit shows. It's great news for the Screen Actors Guild when of reality qet jobs instead But doesn't the public deserve the contestants. pre-1992 level of creative independent sources of programming?

Since the repeal of the FCC financial interests in syndication rules, the networks have engaged in unprecedented vertical integration with

NEAL R. GROSS

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

movie studios and production companies. Due to this vertical integration, independent producers who traditionally created network programming have essentially been shut out of network prime time Accordingly, equation. think we the FCC. custodians of public airwaves, should require that 25 percent of all prime time network programming hours be provided by true independent producers, not the networks. Producers who are stakeholders and risk-takers should be rewarded when they create visions that result in successful returns.

As actors, we find the continued consolidation of media companies has drastically limited our ability to individually bargain our personal services agreements. Every actor has a quote, the amount of money you get for a quest starring role. It's each actor's market value. there is no such thing as getting your quote Like the conglomerations they are now, the networks decide what the top of show rates are in a parallel practice. Some networks even -- and I'm a victim of this -- some networks will even tell you they will only pay you 50 percent of the going rate, take it or leave it. This is salary compression, and it cripples the middle class actor's ability to

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

make a living.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a union, and a vital part of American labor movement, we are gravely concerned that the continued consolidation of our employers will result in the exclusion of issues and challenges facing workers. If the Screen Actors Guild is involved in a labor dispute with the networks, for example -- and I certainly hope it doesn't happen, but if it's necessary, it will -whose story will be told over the airwaves? the six o'clock news include our perspective, that of those who have economic stake in seeing us fail?

MODERATOR RIVERA: You have to wind up now.

JOHNSON: The Screen Actors Guild believes that the public deserves so much more than programming and news. sanitized The American viewing public deserves diversity, a competition and localism in programming. Consequently, we urge you to enact 25 percent independent production а requirement on prime time network broadcast. And I'd also like to let the audience know that Screen Actors Guild is very proud to have three SAG speakers under the public comment portion of today's

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

meeting: Sumi Haru, our National Chair of the Screen Actors EEOC, Hernand DeBeci, Chair of the National Spanish Task Force, and Gretchen Kerner, National Chair of our Legislative Committee. I'm honored to be here. Thank you.

MODERATOR RIVERA: Thank you.

Mona? Mona Mangan.

MS. MANGAN: Yes. Thank you. My name I'm the Executive Director of the is Mona Mangan. Guild The Writers Guild East Writers East. represents writers in entertainment, screen and television, east of the Mississippi River, as well as news writers who reside east of the Mississippi River.

I have been asked today to talk about a slightly different topic, which is the effects of needs for localism, lack of diversity and quality in the news operations of the networks, as well as and operated stations and independent owned affiliates in the United States. For many years, our news writers have been particularly concerned, as have the producers we represent, with issues of management cuts to their news room staffs, and this is pervasive, and its affect on the news is quite I want to emphasize as we talk about substantial.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

this that the things that we are talking about today, the cuts, will be deeply affected by broader ownership rules that permit more mergers and duopolies, and they will exacerbate a situation which already exists, and that is the affect on news of massive cutting and retrenchment.

News rooms have long suffered chronic understaffing. I can give you few examples of this understaffing. Unfortunately, I can't confine them to Los Angeles; they have to be nationwide. At WABC in New York, 50 percent of the jobs that have opened up in the last five years have not been filled. Additionally, news writers absent for vacations or sick leave are not replaced, which creates a situation of chronic understaffing which has, of course, its affect in the reporting quality and the quality of the news delivered on television itself. Under-resourced newsrooms are negatively impacting the news lineup, that is, the stories that are chosen to be aired.

I'll give you one example. Last week, on Wednesday, the 27th of September, the news stories reported the sentencing of Enron's Jeffrey Skilling and the jailing of Tyco's Bernie Embers were bumped at WABC in New York in favor of a story

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

reporting on the rock band U-2's book-signing tour.

A Harper-Collins publicist had provided ABC with U2 related materials on which the aired story was based. No publicist existed to provide information on Bernie Embers' and Jeffrey Skilling. The choice made by the news personnel was inevitable because it was a choice based on economics.

The use of VNR's, video news releases, provided by corporate sources has decreased, but the use of the VNR's themselves continues. They are now pirated, they are exploited and bastardized. Sections of them are taken and reworked into other stories so that the networks and stations can take advantage of the footage that is provided by these independent corporate sources.

We are also seeing at this time across the board commercialization of news broadcasts from the network down to the local level. One form that this commercialization takes is the development of news stories to further plug the networks products. At ABC network radio and its national affiliate News Services, they produced more stories this year about the National Spelling Bee than in any prior year, because this year, not surprisingly, the National Spelling Bee was televised by ABC.